

STAT 479: Homework 3

Due: 11:59PM Mar 1, 2025 by Canvas

1. Variable Elimination in a Bayesian Network

(20 points)

Consider a Bayesian network with the following structure:

$$A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C, \quad A \rightarrow D \rightarrow C, \quad A \rightarrow E$$

with categorical random variables A, B, C, D, E . The joint probability is thus:

$$P(A, B, C, D, E) = P(A)P(B | A)P(D | A)P(C | B, D)P(E | A).$$

We want to compute the conditional probability:

$$P(E = e | B = b) = \frac{P(B = b, E = e)}{P(B = b)}$$

which requires computing $P(B = b, E = e)$ and normalizing over e . Let's walk through Variable Elimination to see the most efficient way to answer this query.

- (a) **Incorporating Evidence:** Variable elimination starts by incorporating the evidence $B = b$ in the joint distribution. What is the correct way to modify the joint distribution?

- A. Keep all factors as is.
- B. Set $B = b$ in all factors where it appears:

$$P(A)P(B = b|A)P(D|A)P(C|B = b, D)P(E|A)$$

- C. Remove all factors that contain B : $P(A)P(D|A)P(C|D)P(E|A)$
- D. Sum out B immediately: $\sum_B P(A)P(B|A)P(D|A)P(C|B, D)P(E|A)$

- (b) **Starting Elimination:** After incorporating evidence, we have the factors:

$$f_1(A) = P(A), \quad f_2(A) = P(B = b|A), \quad f_3(A, D) = P(D|A)$$

$$f_4(D, C) = P(C|B = b, D), \quad f_5(A, E) = P(E|A)$$

The first variable we eliminate is C , since it only appears in $f_4(D, C)$. What are the remaining factors after summing out C ?

- A. $f_1(A), f_2(A), f_3(A, D), f_5(A, E), f_6(D)$
- B. $f_1(A), f_2(A), f_3(A, D), f_5(A, E), f_6(A, D)$
- C. $f_1(A), f_2(A), f_3(A, D), f_5(A, E), f_6(A, C)$
- D. $f_1(A), f_2(A), f_3(A, D), f_5(A, E), f_6(A)$

- (c) **Eliminating D first, then A :** Now we need to eliminate D and A . Suppose we eliminate D first. What would be the resulting factorization?
- A. $f_1(A), f_2(A), f_7(A), f_5(A, E)$, where $f_7(A) = \sum_D f_3(A, D)f_6(D)$
 - B. $f_1(A), f_2(A), f_7(D), f_5(A, E)$, where $f_7(D) = \sum_D f_3(A, D)f_6(D)$
 - C. $f_1(A), f_2(A), f_7(A, E), f_6(D)$, where $f_7(A, E) = \sum_D f_3(A, D)f_5(A, E)$
 - D. $f_1(A), f_2(A), f_3(A, D), f_6(D), f_7(A, E)$, where $f_7(A, E) = \sum_D f_3(A, D)f_5(A, E)$
- (d) **Eliminating A first, then D :** Now, instead, suppose we eliminate A before eliminating D . What would be the resulting factorization?
- A. $f_7(D, E), f_6(D)$, where $f_7(D, E) = \sum_A f_1(A)f_2(A)f_3(A, D)f_5(A, E)$
 - B. $f_1(A), f_2(A), f_7(A, D), f_5(A, E)$, where $f_7(A, D) = \sum_A f_3(A, D)f_6(D)$
 - C. $f_1(A), f_2(A), f_7(A, E), f_6(D)$, where $f_7(A, E) = \sum_A f_3(A, D)f_5(A, E)$
 - D. $f_1(A), f_2(A), f_3(A, D), f_7(A, E)$, where $f_7(A, E) = \sum_A f_3(A, D)f_5(A, E)$
- (e) **Comparing the Orders:** Based on your calculations above, which order is more efficient in terms of minimizing the largest intermediate factor?
- A. Eliminating A before D is always more efficient.
 - B. Eliminating A before eliminating D is more efficient when $|D| > |A|$.
 - C. Both orders create the same largest intermediate factor in all cases.

Answer:

- (a)
- (b)
- (c)
- (d)
- (e)

2. Newton-Raphson for Poisson GLM

(30 points)

Consider a generalized linear model (GLM) where the response y follows a Poisson distribution in exponential family form $p(y|\eta) = h(y) \exp(\eta T(y) - A(\eta))$, with natural parameter $\eta = \mathbf{x}^T \beta$.

- (a) **Exponential Family Form:** Derive the forms of $T(y)$, $A(\eta)$, and $E[y]$ for the Poisson distribution $P(y|\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^y e^{-\lambda}}{y!}$ when $\eta = \mathbf{x}^T \beta$. Select the correct expression:

- A. $T(y) = y$, $A(\eta) = e^\eta$, $E[y] = e^{\mathbf{x}^T \beta}$
 B. $T(y) = e^y$, $A(\eta) = \eta$, $E[y] = \mathbf{x}^T \beta$
 C. $T(y) = y$, $A(\eta) = \eta^2$, $E[y] = 2\mathbf{x}^T \beta$
 D. $T(y) = \ln y$, $A(\eta) = e^{-\eta}$, $E[y] = e^{-\mathbf{x}^T \beta}$

- (b) **Log-Likelihood:** For a dataset $\{(\mathbf{x}_j, y_j)\}_{j=1}^n$ with $y_j \sim \text{Poisson}(e^{\mathbf{x}_j^T \beta})$, write the log-likelihood $\ell(\beta)$. Select the correct expression:

- A. $\ell(\beta) = \sum_{j=1}^n [y_j \mathbf{x}_j^T \beta - e^{\mathbf{x}_j^T \beta} - \ln(y_j!)]$
 B. $\ell(\beta) = \sum_{j=1}^n [y_j e^{\mathbf{x}_j^T \beta} - \mathbf{x}_j^T \beta - y_j^2]$
 C. $\ell(\beta) = \sum_{j=1}^n [\ln(y_j) - e^{\mathbf{x}_j^T \beta} + \mathbf{x}_j^T \beta]$
 D. $\ell(\beta) = \sum_{j=1}^n [y_j - \ln(e^{\mathbf{x}_j^T \beta}) + \ln(y_j!)]$

- (c) **Gradient:** Compute the gradient $\nabla \ell(\beta)$ of the log-likelihood. Select the correct expression:

- A. $\nabla \ell(\beta) = \sum_{j=1}^n (y_j - e^{\mathbf{x}_j^T \beta}) \mathbf{x}_j$
 B. $\nabla \ell(\beta) = \sum_{j=1}^n (e^{\mathbf{x}_j^T \beta} - y_j) \mathbf{x}_j$
 C. $\nabla \ell(\beta) = \sum_{j=1}^n y_j \mathbf{x}_j e^{\mathbf{x}_j^T \beta}$
 D. $\nabla \ell(\beta) = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{x}_j / e^{\mathbf{x}_j^T \beta}$

- (d) **Newton-Raphson Update:** Given the Hessian $\mathbf{H} = \sum_{j=1}^n e^{\mathbf{x}_j^T \beta} \mathbf{x}_j \mathbf{x}_j^T$, derive the Newton-Raphson update rule for β . Select the correct expression:

- A. $\beta^{(t+1)} = \beta^{(t)} - \left(\sum_{j=1}^n e^{\mathbf{x}_j^T \beta^{(t)}} \mathbf{x}_j \mathbf{x}_j^T \right)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n (y_j - e^{\mathbf{x}_j^T \beta^{(t)}}) \mathbf{x}_j$
 B. $\beta^{(t+1)} = \beta^{(t)} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^n y_j \mathbf{x}_j \mathbf{x}_j^T \right)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n e^{\mathbf{x}_j^T \beta^{(t)}} \mathbf{x}_j$
 C. $\beta^{(t+1)} = \beta^{(t)} - \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{x}_j \mathbf{x}_j^T \right)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n y_j \mathbf{x}_j$
 D. $\beta^{(t+1)} = \beta^{(t)} + e^{\mathbf{x}_j^T \beta^{(t)}} \sum_{j=1}^n (y_j - \mathbf{x}_j^T \beta^{(t)}) \mathbf{x}_j$

Answer:

(a)

(b)

(c)

(d)

3. MLE for Bayesian Network

(20 points)

Consider a simple Bayesian network $A \rightarrow B$ with binary variables $A, B \in \{0, 1\}$ and joint distribution $P(A, B) = P(A)P(B|A)$. You have a complete dataset of n observations, where $n_{a,b}$ denotes the number of times $(A = a, B = b)$ occurs.

Let $\theta_1 = P(A = 1)$, $\theta_2 = P(B = 1 | A = 0)$, $\theta_3 = P(B = 1 | A = 1)$.

(a) **Log-Likelihood:** Write the log-likelihood $\ell(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)$. Select the correct expression:

A. $\ell(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3) = n_{0,0} \ln(1 - \theta_1) + n_{0,1} \ln(1 - \theta_1)\theta_2 + n_{1,0} \ln \theta_1(1 - \theta_3) + n_{1,1} \ln \theta_1\theta_3$

B. $\ell(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3) = n_{0,0} \ln[(1 - \theta_1)(1 - \theta_2)] + n_{0,1} \ln[(1 - \theta_1)\theta_2] + n_{1,0} \ln[\theta_1(1 - \theta_3)] + n_{1,1} \ln[\theta_1\theta_3]$

C. $\ell(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3) = n \ln \theta_1 + n_{0,1} \ln \theta_2 + n_{1,1} \ln \theta_3$

D. $\ell(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3) = n_{0,0} \ln \theta_1 + n_{0,1} \ln \theta_2 + n_{1,0} \ln \theta_3$

(b) **MLE for $P(B = 1|A = 0)$:** Derive the MLE for $P(B = 1|A = 0)$ by maximizing the log-likelihood. Select the correct estimator:

A. $\hat{\theta}_{2,MLE} = \frac{n_{0,1}}{n_{0,0} + n_{0,1}}$

B. $\hat{\theta}_{2,MLE} = \frac{n_{0,1}}{n}$

C. $\hat{\theta}_{2,MLE} = \frac{n_{0,0} + n_{0,1}}{n}$

D. $\hat{\theta}_{2,MLE} = \frac{n_{1,1}}{n_{1,0} + n_{1,1}}$

Answer:

(a)

(b)

4. Sharding a Bayesian Network

(20 points)

Consider a Bayesian network with structure $X_1 \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow X_3$, $X_1 \rightarrow X_4$, $X_2 \rightarrow X_4$, and joint distribution $P(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) = P(X_1)P(X_2|X_1)P(X_3|X_2)P(X_4|X_1, X_2)$.

- (a) **Sharding Variable:** Determine which set of variables, when conditioned on, shards the BN into two conditionally independent subgraphs, one containing X_1 and the other X_3 . Select the correct minimal set:

- A. $\{X_2\}$
- B. $\{X_1, X_2\}$
- C. $\{X_2, X_4\}$
- D. $\{X_4\}$

Hint: Use d-separation to find a minimal set that blocks all paths between X_1 and X_3 .

- (b) **Using Sharding to Simplify Computation:** Using the minimal sharding set from part (a), how can we compute $P(X_4)$ in a way that takes advantage of conditional independence?

- A. Compute $P(X_4)$ in two independent steps: first sum over X_1 , then over X_2 .
- B. Compute $P(X_4)$ in one step by marginalizing over X_1 and X_2 together.
- C. Compute $P(X_4)$ by conditioning on X_3 , then summing over X_1, X_2 .
- D. Compute $P(X_4)$ by first marginalizing X_3 , then summing over X_1, X_2 .

- (c) **Efficiency of Distributed Computation:** Suppose we distribute inference across separate computing units, where one unit handles (X_1, X_4) and another handles (X_3) . Which of the following best describes how sharding reduces computational complexity?

- A. It allows us to compute $P(X_4)$ and $P(X_3)$ independently, reducing redundant summations.
- B. It changes the factorization structure to remove dependencies between all variables.
- C. It eliminates the need for marginalization when computing any query.
- D. It makes all variables independent, allowing direct computation of individual probabilities.

Answer:

- (a)
- (b)
- (c)

5. **Mid-Semester Feedback** (10 points)

We're one-third of the way through Stat 479! Your feedback will help improve the course.

- (a) **Course Pacing:** How do you feel about the pace of the course so far?
- A. The pace is much too fast, and I struggle to keep up with the content.
 - B. The pace is slightly too fast, but I can manage with extra effort.
 - C. The pace is about right, balancing challenge and understanding.
 - D. The pace is too slow, and I'd prefer more challenging material sooner.
- (b) **Lecture Clarity:** How clear are the lectures' explanations and examples?
- A. Lectures are very unclear, and I often leave confused.
 - B. Lectures are somewhat unclear, needing more examples or simpler explanations.
 - C. Lectures are mostly clear, with minor areas for improvement.
 - D. Lectures are very clear, and I grasp the material well from them.
- (c) **Assignment Difficulty:** How do you find the difficulty of the homework assignments?
- A. Assignments are far too difficult, requiring excessive time or external help.
 - B. Assignments are challenging but manageable with effort and course resources.
 - C. Assignments are appropriately difficult, aligning well with lecture content.
 - D. Assignments are too easy, and I'd like more complex problems.
- (d) **Resource Usefulness:** How useful are the course resources (e.g., slides, notes, textbooks, office hours) in supporting your learning?
- A. Resources are not useful, and I rarely rely on them.
 - B. Resources are somewhat useful, but I need more or better options.
 - C. Resources are generally useful, meeting most of my needs.
 - D. Resources are highly useful, significantly aiding my understanding.
- (e) **Time on Homework:** On average, how much time do you spend per week on homework assignments for this course?
- A. 0-5 hours
 - B. 6-10 hours
 - C. 11-15 hours
 - D. 16+ hours
- (f) **Time on Readings:** On average, how much time do you spend per week on assigned readings or supplemental materials for this course?

- A. 0-1 hours
 - B. 2-3 hours
 - C. 4-5 hours
 - D. 6+ hours
- (g) **Time on Lecture Review:** On average, how much time do you spend per week reviewing lecture notes or recordings outside of class?
- A. 0-1 hours
 - B. 2-3 hours
 - C. 4-5 hours
 - D. 6+ hours
- (h) **Open Feedback:** In 3-5 sentences, provide any additional feedback about your experience in the course so far. What's working well, and what could be improved for the second half of the semester?

Answer:

- (a)
- (b)
- (c)
- (d)
- (e)
- (f)
- (g)
- (h)